## EXHIBIT 27

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                    FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                            MARSHALL DIVISION
     HEADWATER RESEARCH, LLC., ( CAUSE NO. 22:2-CV-422-JRG
 3
                                     )
               Plaintiff,
 4
 5
     VS.
 6
     SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., (
                                       MARSHALL, TEXAS
     et al.,
                                     ( JANUARY 13, 2025
 7
                                     ) 9:00 A.M.
               Defendants.
 8
 9
                                 VOLUME 1
10
11
                           TRIAL ON THE MERITS
12
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP
13
                    UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
                                and a jury
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                        SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR
                          100 E. HOUSTON STREET
23
                          MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670
                              (903) 923-8546
24
                    shawn mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov
25
```

	1	Q. All right. For example, you discussed the differences
04:54	2	between ItsOn and Headwater with your counsel, but you were
	3	the CEO of both Headwater and ItsOn. Correct, sir?
	4	A. Yes, ma'am.
	5	Q. Mr. David Johnson was the CFO of both Headwater and
	6	ItsOn. Correct, Doctor?
	7	A. Actually I misspoke. I was not the CEO of Headwater; I
	8	was the CEO of ItsOn. I had a different title at Headwater.
	9	Q. Okay. But you certainly had a title at both companies at
	10	the same time, Doctor. Correct?
	11	A. Yes, ma'am.
	12	Q. All right. Mr. Johnson, Mr. David Johnson, he was CFO of
	13	both Headwater and ItsOn. Correct?
04:55	14	A. I'm not remembering what his title was. I know he was
	15	CFO at ItsOn. He may have also been CFO of Headwater. I'm
	16	not sure.
	17	Q. So the composition when we talked about them being
	18	very different, the composition of the board of both companies
	19	it also largely overlapped. Correct, Doctor?
	20	A. There was an overlap, yes, ma'am.
	21	Q. All right. Both Headwater and ItsOn were provided legal
04:55	22	services by the exact same in-house counsel. Correct, sir?
	23	A. It was the same firm, ma'am.
	24	Q. Okay. And Headwater and ItsOn used email domains that
	25	were they were flexibly used by both employees by